



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

411

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/711,593

09/28/2004

Mark D. Fairchild

P04-01

5592

25759

7590

05/02/2007

JOHN J. ELNITSKI, JR.
225 A SNOWBIRD LANE
BELLEFONTE, PA 16823

EXAMINER

LEE, BENJAMIN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3641

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/02/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/711,593

Applicant(s)

FAIRCHILD, MARK D.

Examiner

Benjamin P. Lee

Art Unit

3641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Benjamin P. Lee.(3) John Elnitski.(2) Rob Swiatek.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Richard et al. (U.S. Patent 2565990).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant initiated interview to discuss technical relevance of the Richard et al patent in relation to Applicant's invention. Applicant alleges that the device disclosed by Richard et al is not capable of functioning in the manner claimed by Applicant. The Examiner and the senior examiner present fully understand Applicant's argument. However, Applicant was advised to submit arguments in formal response, since no agreement could be reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MICHELLE CLEMENT
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required